IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYAN AT CHANDIGARH

R.S.A. No. 4412 of 2002(O&M)
Date of decision: January 22,2007

Surinder Pal Singh V. Jogdish Lal and others

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL

Present: Shri R.P.S.Ahluwalia,Advocate, for the appellant.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral)

The plaintiff has lost concurrently before the two courts below and is in second appeal.

The plaintiff filed a suit for possession claiming himself to be son of Jaswant Ram and on that basis claimed that he was entitled to ½ share of the suit land left behind by Jaswant Ram.

Both the courts below have concurrently held that the evidence produced on record was not sufficient to hold that the plaintiff was son of Jawant Ram. As a result of the aforesaid findings, the suit filed by the plaintiff has been dismissed by the trial court and his appeal also failed before the learned first appellate Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the plaintiff-appellant and have also gone through the record of the case.

In my considered view, findings of fact recorded by the two courts below cannot be held to be erroneous in any manner nor can the same be held to be contrary to the record.

No question of law, much less any substantial question of law, arises in the present appeal.

Dismissed.

January 22,2007 (Viney Mittal)
sks Judge